Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Hazardous Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

# Utilization of silkworm cocoon waste as a sorbent for the removal of oil from water

Hiroshi Moriwaki\*, Shiori Kitajima, Masahiro Kurashima, Ayaka Hagiwara, Kazuma Haraguchi, Koji Shirai, Rensuke Kanekatsu, Kenji Kiguchi

Shinshu University, Faculty of Textile Science and Technology, Division of Applied Biology, 3-15-1, Tokida, Ueda 386-8567, Japan

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 May 2008 Received in revised form 28 September 2008 Accepted 29 September 2008 Available online 7 October 2008

*Keywords:* Silkworm cocoon waste Oil sorbent

# 1. Introduction

The environmental pollution of water by oil has been a specific and serious problem [1,2]. An oil spill in the environment causes adverse effects on wildlife and loss of oil, therefore, it is important to establish and develop techniques of oil removal from the water environment in order to apply the appropriate procedure to the cleanup of the oil pollution. The use of an oil sorbent is the commonly used technique to remove oil from the environment [3–8]. Recently, the application of natural fibers, such as cotton [9], cotton grass fiber [10], milkweed, kenaf [11], vegetable fibers [12] and wool [13,14], as the material for the removal of oil has been studied, and some of them showed higher oil-sorption capacities for oil than the typical synthetic oil sorbent made of polypropylene. Natural oil sorbent fibers are environmentally friendly materials, because of their biodegradability and reusability. This feature makes natural fibers very attractive as oil sorbents compared to synthetic fibers.

In this study, we investigated the utilization of silkworm cocoon waste as a low cost sorbent for the removal of oil from water. In general, the silkworm cocoon is expensive. However, most of the pierced cocoons or very dirty cocoons of the silkworm are discarded as industrial wastes, and can be obtained as a low cost material. The silkworm cocoon has the properties of a slow biodegradability [15], and the shell of the silkworm cocoon contains wax and shows

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: moriwaki@shinshu-u.ac.jp (H. Moriwaki).

# ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to investigate the utilization of silkworm cocoon waste, such as pierced or stained cocoons, as a sorbent material for the removal of motor and vegetable oils from water. The oil-sorption capacity, rate and reusability of the material were evaluated. The results show the high sorption capacity of the silkworm cocoon waste sorbent  $(42-52 g_{oil}/g_{sorbent}$  for motor oil and  $37-60 g_{oil}/g_{sorbent}$  for vegetable oil). The oil sorbed onto the material could be recovered by squeezing the sorbent, and the squeezed material showed an oil-sorption capacity over  $15 g_{oil}/g_{sorbent}$ . We concluded that the material shows a high performance as a low cost and environmental friendly sorbent for the removal of oil from water. © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

hydrophobic property [16] which are important preconditions for an efficient oil sorbent [17]. Based on these facts, there is the pos-

sibility that the silkworm cocoon waste acts as a high performance material for the sorption of oil. The silkworm cocoon waste without removal of sericin was milled, and the obtained flocculate material (Fig. 1b) was used as a sorbent for oil in this study. The oil-sorption capacity, rate and reusability of the silkworm cocoon waste sorbent (SCWS) were investigated.

# 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Sorbent material made of silkworm cocoon waste (SCWS)

The pierced silkworm cocoon of a commercial silkworm strain was used for the preparation of the SCWS. The pierced silkworm cocoons arise from the silk industry at the passage of the silkworm. The pierced cocoons of silkworms bred at Shinshu University were used (Fig. 1a). The silkworm cocoon waste was dried according to the normal procedure using a cocoon drier (the temperature was programmed at 110 °C, then decreased to 60 °C at the rate of-0.14 °C/min). The dried pierced silkworm cocoon was cut by scissors into small pieces (about  $5 \times 5$  mm). The fractions were milled by a compact crusher (Force Mill, Osaka Chemical Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) for 1 min. The obtained flocculate of silk fibers (Fig. 1b) was used as a sorbent for the removal of oil from water.

For the evaluation of buoyancy of the sorbent, tests were performed by the method based on the procedure described in the literature [18]. Ten grams of the sorbent was placed in a basket





<sup>0304-3894/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.09.116



Fig. 1. Pictures of the silkworm cocoon waste sorbent. (a) silkworm cocoon waste, (b) sorbent made of silkworm cocoon waste (0.1 g), (c) water and the silkworm cocoon waste sorbent, (d) oil-sorbed material (motor oil) after drying for 24 h at room temperature.

containing a mesh bottom with 1 mm openings. Then, the basket was lowered into a dish filled with water to a depth of 8 cm for 15 min. At the end of the test, the buoyancy of the sorbent was observed.

# 2.2. Material

Motor oil (Toyota castle mineral oil SL-10W-30) was obtained from the Toyota Motor Corporation (Aichi, Japan). Vegetable oil (colza oil) was purchased from CGC Japan (Tokyo, Japan). It is a mixture of saturated (6%) and unsaturated (94%) fatty acids. The viscosities of the oils at 20 °C were measured by a viscometer DV-II+Pro (Brookfield, Massachusetts, USA). The characteristics of the investigated oils are listed in Table 1. One gram of oil was placed in a petri dish for 24 h and 10 days at room temperature. Then, the weight of oil loss was measured by an analytical balance. The purified water (pH 7–8) was produced by an automatic water purified apparatus (NANOpure II, Barnstead, Boston, Massachusetts, USA). Marine water was sampled at the Miura Peninsula in Japan. The salinity of the water was 29.2‰. Natural wool fiber was obtained from sheep (Suffolk) bred at Shinshu University.

# 2.3. Oil-sorption tests

For the sorption experiments, 10 g of the motor or vegetable oils was poured into a beaker containing 100 mL of purified or marine water. Thereafter, 0.1 g of the SCWS was gently placed on the oil surface. After 10 min, the material was removed using forceps and drained for 1 min. The material sorbed oil placed on a petri dish and then dried for 24 h at room temperature (26–30°C). The weight of the oil-sorbed material dried for 24 h was not changed

#### Table 1

Characteristics of the investigated oils.

Sample	Viscosity <sup>a</sup> (cP)	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Weight loss (%) after 24 h
Motor oil	130	0.84	0.0 (0.0) <sup>b</sup>
Vegetable oil	59	0.88	0.0 (0.0) <sup>p</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The viscosities of oils at shear rate 13.05 s<sup>-1</sup>.

 $^{\rm b}\,$  The values in parentheses is the weight loss of oil after 10 days.

compared to that dried for 10 days at room temperature. Therefore, the period for drying was set for 24 h. After drying, the oil-sorbed material was weighed by an analytical balance (0.001 g). Tests were carried out with and without stirring. In the case of stirring (dynamic system), the beakers were placed on a shaker (MK200D, Yamato, Tokyo, Japan).

The sorption capacity was determined according to the following equation:

$$q = \frac{S_t - S_0}{S_0} \tag{1}$$

where q is the sorption capacity ( $g_{oil}/g_{sorbant}$ ),  $S_t$  is the total mass of the sorbed samples (g) and  $S_0$  is the initial mass of the sorbed materials.

For the reusability test of the material, 10 g of the motor or vegetable oil was poured into a beaker containing 100 mL of purified water, and then 0.1 g of the SCWS was placed on the oil surface. Five cycles of the sorption process were performed for each sample. Between the each cycle, material was squeezed, and weighed. The recovery of oil at the first cycle was calculated by dividing the quantity of the recovered oil through squeezing the oil-sorbed material by the initial quantity of the oil sorbed on the material before squeezing.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Removal of oil from water by SCWS

The sorbent material made of silkworm cocoon waste (SCWS) showed a water-repellent nature (Fig. 1c), and floated on the water. The buoyancy tests showed, after 15 min in purified and marine water, that the majority of the material remained at the surface of water and there was no much of settling of the sorbent under both static and dynamic conditions. By placing SCWS on the oil surface, the material sorbed oil and changed from a flocculent fiber to a gelatinous semisolid (Fig. 1d). The results of the oil removal by the SCWS from purified water containing 10 g of the motor and vegetable oil are shown in Fig. 2. The sorption rate of the motor oil and the vegetable oil by the SCWS were not significantly different. The use of the sorption process over 10 min has no significant influ-



**Fig. 2.** Oil-sorption rates of the silkworm cocoon waste sorbent (100 mg). Oil-sorption rates are shown as the removal of oil from water (%) as a function of time in minutes. The quantity of the oils added on the water is 10 g. ( $\bigcirc$ ) Motor oil, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) vegetable oil.

ence on the sorption of the oils, therefore, the sorption time was set at 10 min. The removal (%) of the motor oil and vegetable oil from purified or marine water by the SCWS and the natural wool fiber are shown in Table 2. The material (0.1 g) removed over 50% of the 10g of the oils on the water. On the other hand, the natural wool fiber removed less than 20% of the 10g of the oils in the water. The result showed that the higher performance of the SCWS as an oil-sorbent compared to the natural wool fiber. In addition, the removal (%) of the oils from the marine water by the SCWS was the same level as in the case of the removal from purified water. This result indicates that the SCWS could be used for oil removal not only from fresh water, but also from marine water. There was a tendency that the values of the oil removal (%) under dynamic system were lower than those under static system (Table 2, entries 1–8). The difference would be caused by the formation of slurry from a part of SCWS with oil.

Fig. 3 shows the removal of oil from water under the static condition vs. the weight of the silkworm cocoon waste. The 0.20 g of the SCWS removed over 70% of the 10 g of the oils added on the water. For the 10 g of added oils, the sorption capacities  $(g_{oil}/g_{sorbent})$  vs. weight of the silkworm cocoon waste are shown in Fig. 4. The sorption capacities of the SCWS were 42–52  $g_{oil}/g_{sorbent}$  for the motor oil and 37–60  $g_{oil}/g_{sorbent}$  for the vegetable oil. Though we could not simply compare the performance of the SCWS as an oil-sorbent to those of the other oil-sorbents because of the difference in the type of oil used in the other studies, the values of the oil capacities



**Fig. 3.** Removal of oil from water (%) vs. weight of the silkworm cocoon waste under the static condition. The quantity of the oils added on the water is 10 g. The sorption time was 10 min. ( $\bigcirc$ ) Motor oil, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) vegetable oil.



**Fig. 4.** Sorption capacities  $(g_{oil}/g_{sorbent})$  vs. weight of the silkworm cocoon waste. The quantity of the oils added on the water was 10 g. The sorption time was 10 min. ( $\bigcirc$ ) Motor oil, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) vegetable oil.

of the SCWS are higher than those of the other sorbents previously reported (Table 3). These results would be due to the high hydrophobicity and buoyancy of the SCWS.

# 3.2. Reusability of SCWS

The reusability of SCWS and the recovery of the sorbed oil are summarized in Table 4. The recovery of the motor and vegetable oils were both over 90%. The oil-sorption capacities for the second

#### Table 2

Removal of motor oil and vegetable oil by silkworm cocoon waste and natural wool fibers from water.

Sorbent	System	Oila	Domestal (%)	
		011	Removal (%)	Standard deviation <sup>b</sup>
SWCW	Static	Motor oil	51	4.9
	Static	Vegetable oil	54	3.4
	Static	Motor oil <sup>c</sup>	50	3.2
	Static	Vegetable oil <sup>c</sup>	48	2.6
SWCW	Dynamic <sup>d</sup>	Vegetable oil	46	6.2
	Dynamic	Motor oil	42	4.3
	Dynamic	Vegetable oil	41	7.8
	Dynamic	Motor oil	39	7.6
Natural	Static	Motor oil	20	3.0
wool	Static	Vegetable oil	16	7.0
	SWCW SWCW Natural wool	SWCW Static Static Static Static SWCW Dynamic <sup>d</sup> Dynamic Dynamic Dynamic Natural Static Static	SWCW Static Motor oil Static Vegetable oil Static Motor oil <sup>c</sup> Static Vegetable oil <sup>c</sup> Static Vegetable oil <sup>c</sup> SWCW Dynamic <sup>d</sup> Vegetable oil Dynamic Motor oil Dynamic Vegetable oil Dynamic Motor oil Natural Static Motor oil Static Vegetable oil	SWCW Static Motor oil 51   Static Vegetable oil 54   Static Motor oil <sup>c</sup> 50   Static Vegetable oil <sup>c</sup> 48   SWCW Dynamic <sup>d</sup> Vegetable oil 46   Dynamic Motor oil 42   Dynamic Vegetable oil 41   Dynamic Motor oil 39   Natural Static Vegetable oil 16

<sup>a</sup> The amounts of the used sorbent and oil were 100 mg and 10 g, respectively. Oil was mixed with 100 mL of distilled water. The sorption time was 10 min.

<sup>b</sup> n=3.

 $^{\rm c}~$  Oil was mixed with 100 mL of marine water.

<sup>d</sup> Tests of dynamic system were carried out under constant steering (100 rpm) with a mechanical shaker.

#### Table 3

Oil-sorption capacity of various sorbents.

Sorbent	Oil	Sorption capacity <sup>a</sup> (g <sub>oil</sub> /g <sub>sorbent</sub> )	Reference
Polypropylene	Light cycle oil	3-4.5	[7]
Natural wool fibers	Motor oil	24–34	[5]
Recycled wool-based nonwoven material	Motor oil	15.80	[14]
Sisal	Crude heavy oil	6.4	[12]
Leaves residue	Crude heavy oil	2.7	[12]
Saw dust	Crude heavy oil	6.4	[12]
Coir fiber	Crude heavy oil	5.4	[12]
Sponge gourd	Crude heavy oil	4.6	[12]
Silk-floss fiber (Chorisia speciosa)	Crude heavy oil	84.6	[12]
Silkworm cocoon waste	Motor oil	42-52	This study
Silkworm cocoon waste	Vegetable oil	37–60	This study
Natural wool fibers	Motor oil	20	This study
Natural wool fibers	Vegetable oil	16	This study

<sup>a</sup> Static system.

# Table 4

Sorption capacity of the waste of silkworm cocoon for oils along two sorption cycles.

Sorption capacity $(g_{oil}/g_{sorbent})^a$		The quantity of recovered oil (g) <sup>c,d</sup>
First cycle	Second cycle	
51 ± 4.9	$22\pm1.0(42)^{b}$	$4.6 \pm 0.60(91)$
$54\pm3.4$	$18 \pm 1.8  (33)$	$4.9 \pm 0.34(91)$
	Sorption capacity $(g_{oil}/g_{sorbent})^a$ First cycle $51 \pm 4.9$ $54 \pm 3.4$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Sorption capacity (g_{oil}/g_{sorbent})^a & & \\ \hline First cycle & Second cycle & \\ \hline 51 \pm 4.9 & 22 \pm 1.0  (42)^b & \\ \hline 54 \pm 3.4 & 18 \pm 1.8  (33) & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

<sup>a</sup> The quantity of the sorbent (silkworm cocoon waste) was 100 mg. The sorption time was 10 min. Oil quantity was 10 g. Calculated according to the equation 1. The values are the average and standard deviation (*n*=4).

<sup>b</sup> The values in parentheses are the percentage of the sorption capacity at the second cycle against it at the first cycle.

<sup>c</sup> The values are the quantity (g) of the oil obtained by squeezing the oil-sorbed material at the first cycle.

<sup>d</sup> The values in parentheses are the percentage of the quantity of the recovered oil by squeezing against the initial quantity of the oil sorbed on the material before squeezing.

cycle were approximately 40% of those for the first cycle with the values at the high levels of 22 and  $18 g_{oil}/g_{sorbent}$  for the motor and vegetable oils, respectively.

Further repeating of the sorption cycle (1–5 times) decreased the oil capacities of the SCWS (Fig. 5). The decrease in the oil sorption of the SCWS by repeating the sorption treatment would be due to the decrease in the surface area of the SCWS by the aggregation of the oil between the fibers. Furthermore, there is a possibility that the denaturation of the surface protein of the SCWS by contact with the oil caused the decrease in the oil-sorption capacity. However, after five sorption cycles, the sorption capacities were over  $15 \text{ g}_{oil}/\text{g}_{sorbent}$ .

In summary, the advantages of the SCWS as an oil-sorbent are as follows:



Fig. 5. Reusability of silkworm cocoon waste sorbent for the removal of oil from water.

- 1. The preparation of the SCWS is very simple, and the material is low cost.
- 2. The SCWS has a slow biodegradable.
- 3. The SCWS has a high sorption capacity for oil because of the high hydrophobic property of the material.
- 4. The oil sorbed on the material could be recovered by squeezing, and the SCWS material can be reused as an oil removal sorbent, which shows the sorption capacity over  $15 g_{oil}/g_{sorbent}$ .

Based on these points, it is concluded that the SCWS is an effective and low cost sorbent for the removal of oil from water.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for the Global COE Program by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology. The authors wish to thank Prof. K. Hanabusa and Ms. H. Hoshizawa (Shinshu University) for their generous support of the measurements of the oil sample viscosities. The authors also wish to thank Mr. H. Fukushima and Ms. A. Tamura (Shinshu University) for their fruitful discussions about this research. We thank Dr. Tohru Moriyama and Mr. Seiji Chino (Shinshu University) for their kindness in supplying the marine water and natural wool fibers, respectively.

#### References

- [1] J. Whitfield, How to clean a beach, Nature 422 (2003) 464-466.
- [2] C. Alonso-Alvarez, C. Pérez, A. Velando, Effects of acute exposure to heavy fuel oil from the *Prestige* spill on a seabird, Aquat. Toxicol. 84 (1) (2007) 103–110.
- [3] Q.F. Wei, R.R. Mather, A.F. Fotheringham, R.D. Yang, Evaluation of nonwoven polypropylene oil sorbents in marine oil-spill recovery, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 46 (2003) 780–783.
- [4] R.F. Johnson, T.G. Manjrekar, Removal of oil from water surfaces by sorption on unstructured fibers, Environ. Sci. Technol. 7 (1973) 439–443.

- [5] V. Rajaković-Ognjanović, G. Aleksić, L. Rajaković, Governing factors for motor oil removal from water with different sorption materials, J. Hazard. Mater. 154 (1–3) (2008) 558–563.
- [6] A. Gammoun, S. Tahiri, A. Albizane, M. Azzi, J. Moros, S. Garrigues, M. de la Guardia, Separation of motor oils, oily wastes and hydrocarbons from contaminated water by sorption on chrome shavings, J. Hazard. Mater. 145 (2007) 148–153.
- [7] Ch. Teas, S. Kalligeros, F. Zanikos, S. Stournas, E. Lois, G. Anastopoulos, Investigation of the effectiveness of absorbent materials in oil spill clean up, Desalination 140 (2001) 259–264.
- [8] S.A. Sayed, A.M. Zayed, Investigation of the effectiveness of some adsorbent materials in oil spill clean-ups, Desalination 194 (2006) 90– 100.
- [9] G. Deschamps, H. Caruel, M.-E. Borredon, C. Bonnin, C. Vignoles, Oil removal from water by selective sorption on hydrophobic cotton fibers. 1. Study of sorption properties and comparison with other cotton fiber-based sorbents, Environ. Sci. Technol. 37 (2003) 1013–1015.
- [10] S. Suni, A.-L. Kosunen, M. Hautala, A. Pasila, M. Romantschuk, Use of a byproduct of peat excavation, cotton grass fibre, as a sorbent for oil-spills, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 49 (2004) 916–921.

- [11] H.-M. Choi, R.M. Cloud, Natural sorbents in oil spill cleanup, Environ. Sci. Technol. 26 (1992) 772–776.
- [12] T.R. Annunciado, T.H.D. Sydenstricker, S.C. Amico, Experimental investigation of various vegetable fibers as sorbent materials for oil spills, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 50 (2005) 1340–1346.
- [13] M.M. Radetic, D.M. Jocic, P.M. Jorancic, Z.L. Petrovic, H.F. Thomas, Recycled woolbased nonwoven material as an oil sorbent, Environ. Sci. Technol. 37 (2003) 1008–1012.
- [14] M. Radetic, V. Ilic, D. Radojevic, R. Miladinovic, D. Jocic, R. Jovancic, Efficiency of recycled wool-based nonwoven material for the removal of oils from water, Chemosphere 70 (2008) 525–530.
- [15] N. Minoura, M. Tsukada, M. Nagura, Physico-chemical properties of silk fibroin membrane as a biomaterial, Biomaterials 11 (6) (1990) 430–434.
- [16] K. Komatsu, Chemical studies on cocoon wax. I. Analysis of cocoon wax by means of infrared spectrometry, Bull. Sericul. Exp. Sta. 23 (5) (1969) 499–512.
- [17] T.T. Lim, X. Huang, Evaluation of kapok (*Ceiba pentandra* (L.) Gaertn.) as a natural hollow hydrophobic-oleophilic fibrous sorbent for oil spill cleanup, Chemosphere 66 (5) (2007) 955–963.
- [18] T.H. Ribeiro, R.W. Smith, J. Rubio, Sorption of oils by the nonliving biomass of a Salvinia sp., Environ. Sci. Technol. 34 (2000) 5201–5205.